```
ANNA Y. PARK, CA SBN 164242
 1
   SUE J. NOH, CA SBN 192134
2
   DEREK W. LI, CA SBN 150122
   U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT
   OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
   255 E. Temple Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor
   Los Angeles, CA 90012
5
   Telephone: (213)894-1077
6
   Facsimile: (213)894-1301
7
   E-mail: lado.legal@eeoc.gov
8
   Attorneys for Plaintiff EEOC
9
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
11
                   CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
   U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT
                                      ) Case No. 2:15-mc-00055-JFW(ASx)
13
   OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,
14
                                      ) [PROPOSED] ORDER RE:
                   Petitioner,
                                      ) PETITIONER EEOC'S
15
                                      ) REQUEST FOR VOLUNTARY
              v.
16
                                      ) DISMISSAL OF ITS
   WESTERN EXPRESS, INC.,
17
                                      ) APPLICATION TO SHOW
                                      ) CAUSE TO ENFORCE ITS
18
                   Respondent.
                                      ) SUBPOENA ON DEFENDANT
19
                                      ) WESTERN EXPRESS
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

Order re: EEOC'S Req. for Dismissal Appl. to Enforce Subpoena

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On March 10, 2015, Petitioner United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("Petitioner" or "EEOC") filed its application to enforce its administrative subpoena (Subpoena Duces Tecum LA 14-028 or "Subpoena") against Respondent Western Express, Inc. (ECF No. 1-3). Respondent subsequently produced additional documents to respond to the Subpoena. On May 29, 2015, the Court held a hearing and ordered Respondent to further comply with the Subpoena by submitting an additional declaration responsive to the Subpoena demands still in dispute. (ECF No. 16). On June 11, 2015, Respondent sent the declaration to the EEOC as ordered by the Court. Because Respondent has subsequently produced responsive documents and has complied with the Court's Order, the issues raised by the EEOC's application to enforce its Subpoena against Respondent have been resolved. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2), the EEOC requests for the voluntary dismissal without prejudice of the EEOC's subpoena enforcement action. FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, the EEOC's request for voluntary dismissal of its application to enforce its Subpoena pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) IS GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED that the EEOC's application to enforce its Subpoena is hereby dismissed without prejudice. Dated: July 29, 2015 HON. ALKA SAGAR United States Magistrate Judge Submitted by: /s/ Derek W. Li Derek W. Li Attorney for Petitioner EEOC

Order re: EEOC'S Req. for Dismissal Appl. to Enforce Subpoena